

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,596	02/27/2002	Dale E. Gulick	2000.051900/TT4033	8995	
23720	7590 08/07/2006		EXAMINER		
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L		
HOUSTON, 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2137		
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/084,59		GULICK, DALE E.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
	•	Jeffery Wil	liams	2137	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic		1		2SS
Period fo	or Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within t	ILING DATE OF TH '37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. itory period will apply and will ill, by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim 1 expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cation to become ABANDONED]. ely filed the mailing date of this comm) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 June 2006</u> .			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the m	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the ap	polication.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are v		ideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) 51-65 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election re	equirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
• —	The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2		epted or b) objected	d to by the Examiner	•
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	· -		ed in this National Sta	age
	application from the Internation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* (See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certif	ned copies not receive	a.	
Attachmen					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		52)

Art Unit: 2137

Page 2

1	DETAILED ACTION
2	
3	This action is in response to the communication filed on 6/21/2006.
4	All objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.
5	Claims 1 – 65 are pending.
6	
7	\cdot
8	Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114
9	
10	A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set
11	forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this
12	application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set
13	forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action
14	has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/21/06
15	has been entered.
16	
17	•
18	Election/Restrictions
19	
20	Claims 1 – 50 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
21	1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or
22	linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/07/2005.

Art Unit: 2137

3 Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 51, 52, 54 – 57, 59 – 62, 64, and 65 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Flyntz, "Multi-Level Secure Computer With
Token-Based Access Control", U.S. Patent 6,389,542 in view of Angelo, "Method
and Apparatus for Allowing Access to Secured computer Resources by Utilizing a
Password and an External Encryption Algorithm", U.S. Patent 5,949,882.

Regarding claim 51, Flyntz discloses:

is evaluated as acceptable (Flyntz, col. 10, lines 33-40).

receiving a request for an authentication, at a microcontroller, requesting security data from a security device; receiving the security data from the security device, at the microcontroller (Flyntz, col. 2, lines 52-56; col. 15, lines 5-20, 33-36, 53-55).

Flyntz discloses that a user requests authentication by supplying security data to the microcontroller, which in turn processes such security data to evaluate acceptance.

evaluating the security data; and approving the authentication if the security data

Art Unit: 2137

Flyntz discloses a microcontroller, serving to control the connection of the CPU to devices located on system buses (Flyntz, fig. 2; col. 5, line 61 – col. 6, line 25; col. 15, lines 21-32). The system of Flyntz allows for the provision of power to secure system portions after a positive indication of acceptability has been received (Flyntz, Abstract; col. 1, lines 55-63). The microcontroller receives a request for authentication via connection to a security device (Flyntz, fig. 2:31). Flyntz, however, does not disclose the microcontroller as *included in a bridge*.

Like Flyntz, Angelo discloses controlling circuitry to implement a secure power up procedure for providing power to system portions on system buses, upon permission for authorized users (Angelo, Abstract; col. 6, lines 44-50; col. 11, lines 17-45). Angelo specifically discloses that the controlling circuitry used to accomplish this procedure is included in the bridge, thus allowing the system to control the connection of the CPU to devices located on system buses (Angelo, fig. 1-130; col. 5, lines 1-30). The inclusion of the above mentioned security features within the bridge allows for increased hardware security, as security data may be entered via a secure communication path to the bridge after a request for authentication has been received (Angelo, 2:39-43; 11:64-12:9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the secure bridge implementation of Angelo for connecting devices on system buses along with the security microcontroller of Flyntz for connecting devices on system buses. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the showing of prior art that the above mentioned security features need not be

Art Unit: 2137

7 (1 C) (11. 2 10)

1 constructed as separate system components, but rather, may be feasibly included

2 within the existing computer system's bridge, thereby allowing the secure connection of

Page 5

the CPU to devices located on buses (Angelo, fig. 2-130; col. 2, lines 39-43; 5:13-26;

10:33-54), as well as increased hardware security.

The combination of Flyntz and Angelo discloses the request being received from a bus external to the bridge (Flyntz, fig. 2, elem. 31).

7

8

9

6

3

4

5

Regarding claim 52, the combination of Flyntz and Angelo discloses:

disapproving the authentication if the security data is evaluated as unacceptable

(Flyntz, col. 2, lines 53-57; col. 10, lines 33-37).

11

12

13

14

10

Regarding claim 53, the combination of Flyntz and Angelo discloses wherein evaluating the security data comprises requesting an indication of acceptability inside SMM (Angelo, Abstract; col. 6, lines 44-50; col. 5: 21-30; col. 11, lines 17-45).

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Regarding claim 54, the combination of Flyntz and Angelo discloses:

wherein requesting security data from a security device comprises requesting the security data from the security device over a direct connection between the security device and the microcontroller; and wherein receiving the security data from the security device, at the microcontroller, comprises receiving the security data from the security device over the direct connection to the microcontroller (Flyntz, fig. 2, elem. 31, 32).

Art Unit: 2137

1 The combination of Flyntz and Angelo discloses a direct connection between the

Page 6

2 security device and the microcontroller.

Regarding claim 55, the combination of Flyntz and Angelo discloses:

wherein requesting security data from a security device comprises requesting biometric data from a biometric device; wherein receiving the security data from the security device, at the microcontroller, comprises receiving the biometric data from the biometric device, at the microcontroller (Flyntz, col. 2, lines 52-56; col. 15, lines 5-20, 33-36, 53-55; col. 6, lines 36-46).

wherein evaluating the security data comprises evaluating the biometric data; and wherein approving the authentication if the security data is evaluated as acceptable comprises approving the authentication if the biometric data is evaluated as acceptable (Flyntz, col. 2, lines 52-56; col. 15, lines 5-20, 33-36, 53-55; col. 6, lines 36-46; col. 10, lines 33-40).

Regarding claims 56 – 65, they are the method steps and method implemented on computer readable medium claims corresponding to the method claims above, and are rejected, at least, for the same reasons.

Art Unit: 2137

Page 7

1	Response to Arguments
2	
3	Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 51 - 65 have been considered but
4	are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
5	
6	
7	Conclusion
8	•
9	Claims 51 – 65 are rejected.
10	
11	The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to
12	applicant's disclosure:
13	See Notice of References Cited.
14	
15	A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire 3 months (not less than 90
16	days) from the mailing date of this communication.
17	Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
18	examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-
19	7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.
20	If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
21	supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2137

1 number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

Page 8

- 2 872-9306.
- 3 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the
- 4 Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for
- 5 published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.
- 6 Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
- 7 For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
- 8 you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
- 9 Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10

11

12 J. Williams13 AU 2137

711

EMMANUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER